# Neutrino Cosmology: neutrino mixing and BBN cosmology

M. E. Mosquera

Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata,

Argentina

Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina

- Introduction to Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN)
- Sterile neutrino in BBN
- Results
- Conclusions

#### Thermal history of the Universe (Kolb and Turner, 1990)



- Big Bang Nucleosynthesis is the process where the light nuclei are formed.
- It takes place during the first three minutes after the Big Bang (temperatures of the order of  $10^9$  K).
- The model to obtain the primordial abundances has only one free parameter, the baryon density  $\Omega_B h^2$ .
- It's one of the most important tools to study one of the first stage of the Universe.

#### (Kawano, 1992)



Most important reactions that keep the thermal and chemical balance (Kawano, 1992)



1.-  $n \leftrightarrow p$ 2.-  $n + p \leftrightarrow d + \gamma$ 3.-  $d + p \leftrightarrow^3 \text{He} + \gamma$ 4.-  $d + d \leftrightarrow^3 \text{He} + n$ 5.-  $d + d \leftrightarrow t + p$ 6.-  $t + d \leftrightarrow^4 \text{He} + n$  7.-  $t + {}^4$  He  $\leftrightarrow \gamma + {}^7$  Li 8.-  ${}^3$ He +  $n \leftrightarrow t + p$ 9.-  $d + {}^3$  He  $\leftrightarrow {}^4$  He + p10.-  ${}^3$ He +  ${}^4$  He  $\leftrightarrow \gamma + {}^7$  Be 11.-  ${}^7$ Li +  $p \leftrightarrow {}^4$  He +  ${}^4$  He 12.-  ${}^7$ Be +  $n \leftrightarrow p + {}^7$ Li

Observational data of primordial abundances

### Observational data of primordial abundances

## • Deuterium

Low metalicity region near quasars  $(Y_d = (2.81 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5})$  (Pettini et al., 2008)

## Observational data of primordial abundances

## Deuterium

Low metalicity region near quasars  $(Y_d = (2.81 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5})$  (Pettini et al., 2008)

## • ${}^{4}\text{He}$

HII regions and extrapolation to zero metalicity

 $(Y_{\rm ^4He} = 0.2565 \pm 0.0010)$  (Izotov and Thuan, 2010)

## Observational data of primordial abundances

## Deuterium

Low metalicity region near quasars  $(Y_d = (2.81 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5})$  (Pettini et al., 2008)

## • <sup>4</sup>He

HII regions and extrapolation to zero metalicity

$$(Y_{^4{
m He}} = 0.2565 \pm 0.0010)$$
 (Izotov and Thuan, 2010)  
•  $^7{
m Li}$ 

Stars with low metalicity and extrapolation to zero metalicity  $(Y_{7\text{Li}} = (1.26 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-10})$  (Bonifacio et al., 2007)

• The study of the Cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) is one of the most important tools to obtain, with great accuracy, the cosmological parameters, such as the baryon density, dark matter density, etc.

• The study of the Cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) is one of the most important tools to obtain, with great accuracy, the cosmological parameters, such as the baryon density, dark matter density, etc.

• The value of the baryon density estimated from WMAP data is (Spergel et al, 2007):

 $\Omega_B h^2 = 0.0224 \pm 0.0009$ 

### (K. Nakamura et al. (Particle Data Group), 2010)



- The observed value of <sup>7</sup>Li is not in agreement with the theoretical value obtained with the cosmological parameters of WMAP
- The BBN model takes into account three massless neutrinos (no neutrino oscillation)
- The experiment Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector (LSND) set constraints on the existence of sterile neutrinos

- The observed value of <sup>7</sup>Li is not in agreement with the theoretical value obtained with the cosmological parameters of WMAP
- The BBN model takes into account three massless neutrinos (no neutrino oscillation)
- The experiment Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector (LSND) set constraints on the existence of sterile neutrinos
- We studied the effects of the inclusion of massive and sterile neutrinos in the first three minutes of the Universe, upon the primordial abundances.
- In particularly, we studied the following scenarios
  - two massive neutrino states
  - two massive neutrino states with electron-neutrino interaction
  - three massive neutrino states plus an sterile neutrino state

- The observed value of <sup>7</sup>Li is not in agreement with the theoretical value obtained with the cosmological parameters of WMAP
- The BBN model takes into account three massless neutrinos (no neutrino oscillation)
- The experiment Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector (LSND) set constraints on the existence of sterile neutrinos
- We studied the effects of the inclusion of massive and sterile neutrinos in the first three minutes of the Universe, upon the primordial abundances.
- In particularly, we studied the following scenarios
  - two massive neutrino states
  - two massive neutrino states with electron-neutrino interaction
  - three massive neutrino states plus an sterile neutrino state
- We set constraints on the mixing parameters in order to reconcile the observations of primordial abundances with WMAP estimations

- We obtained the distribution functions for light neutrinos, considering an sterile neutrino, as a function of the mixing parameters  $\phi$  and  $\Delta m^2$
- We computed the reaction rates for the conversion of neutron to proton
- We calculated the primordial abundances as a function of  $\phi$  and  $\Delta m^2$
- We set constraints on the mixing parameters and the baryon density by the comparison of the theoretical results and the observations

Normal hierarchy

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_{l}(t) \\ \nu_{m}(t) \\ \nu_{h}(t) \\ \nu_{s}(t) \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1}(t) \\ \nu_{2}(t) \\ \nu_{3}(t) \\ \nu_{4}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

#### where



 $s_{ij}$  ( $c_{ij}$ ) stands for  $\sin \theta_{ij}$  ( $\cos \theta_{ij}$ )

#### • Inverse hierarchy

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}c_{12} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13} & 0 \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - s_{23}s_{13}c_{12} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}s_{12} & s_{23}c_{13} & 0 \\ s_{23}s_{12} - s_{13}c_{23}c_{12} & -s_{23}c_{12} - s_{13}s_{12}c_{23} & c_{23}c_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ 0 & 0 & -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

• The equation for the density matrix (in the mass eigenstate basis),  $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = <\nu_i |\nu_j>$ , is

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} - \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial E_{\nu}}\right) = \imath \left[\mathcal{H}_{0}, \mathcal{F}\right]$$

where *t* is the time,  $H_H$  the universe expansion constant ( $H_H = \mu_P T^2$ ),  $E_{\nu}$  stands for the neutrino energy and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ 

• The equation for the density matrix (in the mass eigenstate basis),  $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = <\nu_i |\nu_j>$ , is

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{H}} E_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial E_{\nu}}\right) = \imath \left[\mathcal{H}_{0}, \mathcal{F}\right]$$

where *t* is the time,  $H_H$  the universe expansion constant ( $H_H = \mu_P T^2$ ),  $E_{\nu}$  stands for the neutrino energy and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ • If one considered electron-neutrino interactions

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{H}} E_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial E_{\nu}}\right) = \imath \left[\mathcal{H}_{0} + \sqrt{2}G_{F} \left(n_{e}(T) - \frac{8}{3M_{W}^{2}}\rho_{e}(T)E_{\nu}\right)A, \mathcal{F}\right]$$

• The equation for the density matrix (in the mass eigenstate basis),  $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = <\nu_i |\nu_j>$ , is

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} - \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} E_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial E_{\nu}}\right) = \imath \left[\mathcal{H}_{0}, \mathcal{F}\right]$$

where *t* is the time,  $H_H$  the universe expansion constant ( $H_H = \mu_P T^2$ ),  $E_{\nu}$  stands for the neutrino energy and  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{diag}(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ 

The initial condition can be written as

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} f_a & f_{as} \\ f_{sa} & f_s \end{array}\right) \bigg|_{T_0} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{E_{\nu}/T_0}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

#### Solutions

#### two states

$$f_l = \frac{1}{1 + e^{E_{\nu}/T}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sin^2 2\phi}{2} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{6\mu_P} \frac{T}{E_{\nu}} \left(\frac{1}{T^3} - \frac{1}{T_0^3}\right) \right) - 1 \right] \right\}$$

three states, normal hierarchy

$$f_l = \frac{1}{1 + e^{E_{\nu}/T}} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta_{13} \cos^2 \theta_{12}}{1 + e^{E_{\nu}/T}} \frac{\sin^2 2\phi}{2} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{\Delta m^2}{6\mu_P} \frac{T}{E_{\nu}} \left( \frac{1}{T^3} - \frac{1}{T_0^3} \right) \right) - 1 \right]$$

• three states, inverse hierarchy

$$f_l = \frac{1}{1 + e^{E_{\nu}/T}} \left\{ 1 + \sin^2 \theta_{13} \frac{\sin^2 2\phi}{2} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{\Delta m^2}{6\mu_P} \frac{T}{E_{\nu}} \left( \frac{1}{T^3} - \frac{1}{T_0^3} \right) \right) - 1 \right] \right\}$$

• Reaction rates of  $n \leftrightarrow p$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(\nu+n\to p+e^{-})} &= \frac{255}{4\tau (\delta m_{np})^5} \int_0^\infty dp_{\nu} \ p_{\nu} E_{\nu} p_e E_e \left(1 - f_e\right) f_l \\ \lambda_{(e^++n\to p+\overline{\nu})} &= \frac{255}{4\tau (\delta m_{np})^5} \int_0^\infty dp_e \ p_{\nu} E_{\nu} p_e E_e \left(1 - f_l\right) f_e \\ f_e &= \left(1 + e^{E_e/T}\right)^{-1} \\ f_l &= \left(1 + e^{E_\nu/T}\right)^{-1} \left\{1 - \frac{\sin^2 2\phi}{2} \xi \left[1 - g \left(\Delta m^2, E_{\nu}, T\right)\right]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{Y}_{n} = Y_{d}Y_{d}R[dd; n^{3}\text{He}] + Y_{d}Y_{t}R[dt; n^{4}\text{He}] + Y_{p}Y_{t}R[pt; n^{3}\text{He}]$$
$$+ Y_{d}Y_{\gamma}R[d\gamma; np] - Y_{n}Y_{p}R[np; d\gamma] - Y_{n}Y_{3}\text{He}R[n^{3}\text{He}; tp]$$
$$- Y_{n}[n]$$

$$\dot{Y}_{d} = Y_{n}Y_{p}R[np;d\gamma] - 2Y_{d}Y_{d}\left(R[dd;pt] + R[dd;n^{3}\text{He}]\right)$$
$$-Y_{d}Y_{t}R[dt;n^{4}\text{He}] - Y_{d}Y_{3}\text{He}R[d^{3}\text{He};p^{4}\text{He}]$$
$$-Y_{d}Y_{\gamma}R[d\gamma;np] - Y_{d}Y_{p}R[dp;^{3}\text{He}\gamma]$$

$$\dot{Y}_t = Y_n Y_{^3\mathrm{He}} R[n^3\mathrm{He}; pt] + Y_d Y_d R[dd; pt] - Y_d Y_t R[dt; n^4\mathrm{He}]$$
$$-Y_p Y_t R[pt; n^3\mathrm{He}] - Y_p Y_t R[pt; \gamma^4\mathrm{He}]$$

$$\dot{Y}_{^{3}\text{He}} = Y_{d}Y_{p}R[pd;^{3}\text{He}\gamma] + Y_{t}Y_{p}R[pt;n^{3}\text{He}] + Y_{d}Y_{d}R[dd;n^{3}\text{He}] -Y_{d}Y_{^{3}\text{He}}R[d^{3}\text{He};p^{4}\text{He}] - Y_{n}Y_{^{3}\text{He}}R[n^{3}\text{He};pt]$$

$$\dot{Y}_{^{4}\mathrm{He}} = Y_{d}Y_{^{3}\mathrm{He}}R[d^{3}\mathrm{He}; p^{4}\mathrm{He}] + Y_{n}Y_{^{3}\mathrm{He}}R[n^{3}\mathrm{He}; {}^{4}\mathrm{He}\gamma]$$
$$+ Y_{d}Y_{t}R[dt; n^{4}\mathrm{He}] + Y_{p}Y_{t}R[pt; \gamma^{4}\mathrm{He}]$$

$$\dot{Y}_{^{6}\mathrm{Li}} = Y_{d}Y_{^{4}\mathrm{He}}R[d^{4}\mathrm{He}; {}^{6}\mathrm{Li}\gamma] - Y_{n}Y_{^{6}\mathrm{Li}}R[n^{6}\mathrm{Li}; {}^{4}\mathrm{He}t]$$
$$-Y_{p}Y_{^{6}\mathrm{Li}}R[p^{6}\mathrm{Li}; t^{4}\mathrm{He}]$$

$$\dot{Y}_{^{7}\mathrm{Li}} = Y_{n}Y_{^{4}\mathrm{He}}R[n^{6}\mathrm{Li}; {}^{7}\mathrm{Li}\gamma] + Y_{n}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Be}}R[n^{7}\mathrm{Be}; p^{7}\mathrm{Li}]$$
$$+Y_{t}Y_{^{4}\mathrm{He}}R[t^{4}\mathrm{He}; {}^{7}\mathrm{Li}\gamma] - Y_{p}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Li}}R[p^{7}\mathrm{Li}; {}^{4}\mathrm{He} {}^{4}\mathrm{He}]$$
$$-Y_{n}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Li}}R[n^{7}\mathrm{Li}; {}^{8}\mathrm{Li}\gamma]$$

$$\dot{Y}_{^{7}\mathrm{Be}} = Y_{p}Y_{^{6}\mathrm{Li}}R[p^{6}\mathrm{Li}; {}^{7}\mathrm{Be}\gamma] + Y_{^{3}\mathrm{He}}Y_{^{4}\mathrm{He}}R[{}^{3}\mathrm{He}{}^{4}\mathrm{He}; {}^{7}\mathrm{Be}\gamma]$$
$$-Y_{\gamma}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Be}}R[{}^{7}\mathrm{Be}\gamma; {}^{3}\mathrm{He}{}^{4}\mathrm{He}] - Y_{n}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Be}}R[n^{7}\mathrm{Be}; p^{7}\mathrm{Li}]$$
$$-Y_{p}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Be}}R[p^{7}\mathrm{Be}; \gamma^{8}\mathrm{Li}] - Y_{d}Y_{^{7}\mathrm{Be}}R[d^{7}\mathrm{Be}; {}^{4}\mathrm{He}{}^{4}\mathrm{He}p]$$

The equation for each nuclei can be written as

 $\dot{Y}_i = J(t) - \Gamma(t)Y_i$ 

where J(t) and  $\Gamma(t)$  are the source and sink terms (Esmailzadeh et al, 1991).

The equation for each nuclei can be written as

 $\dot{Y}_i = J(t) - \Gamma(t)\overline{Y_i}$ 

where J(t) and  $\Gamma(t)$  are the source and sink terms (Esmailzadeh et al, 1991).

Quasi-static equilibrium (QSE)

If  $\Gamma(t) \gg H$  the solution can be written as  $Y_i(t) = \frac{J(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$ 

The equation for each nuclei can be written as

 $\dot{Y}_i = J(t) - \Gamma(t)Y_i$ 

where J(t) and  $\Gamma(t)$  are the source and sink terms (Esmailzadeh et al, 1991).

Quasi-static equilibrium (QSE)

If  $\Gamma(t) \gg H$  the solution can be written as  $Y_i(t) = \frac{J(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$ 

When  $\Gamma(t) \simeq H$  the value of  $Y_i$  freezes out

The equation for each nuclei can be written as

 $\dot{Y}_i = J(t) - \overline{\Gamma(t)}\overline{Y_i}$ 

where J(t) and  $\Gamma(t)$  are the source and sink terms (Esmailzadeh et al, 1991).

### Quasi-static equilibrium (QSE)

If  $\Gamma(t) \gg H$  the solution can be written as  $Y_i(t) = \frac{J(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$ 

When  $\Gamma(t) \simeq H$  the value of  $Y_i$  freezes out

 Computation of the neutron abundance until the freezeout of the weak interaction

The equation for each nuclei can be written as

 $\dot{Y}_i = \overline{J(t) - \Gamma(t)Y_i}$ 

where J(t) and  $\Gamma(t)$  are the source and sink terms (Esmailzadeh et al, 1991).

### Quasi-static equilibrium (QSE)

If  $\Gamma(t) \gg H$  the solution can be written as  $Y_i(t) = \frac{J(t)}{\Gamma(t)}$ 

When  $\Gamma(t) \simeq H$  the value of  $Y_i$  freezes out

 Computation of the neutron abundance until the freezeout of the weak interaction

• Computation of the primordial abundances in stages characterized by the importance of the reactions. For the nucleus with mayor production rate one solves the differential equation  $\dot{Y}_i = J(t) - \Gamma(t)Y_i$ . For the other nuclei one solves the QSE equations

Neutron abundance

$$\lambda_{np}(y) = \frac{255}{\tau} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sin^2 2\phi}{4} \xi \right] \left( \frac{1}{y^3} + \frac{6}{y^4} + \frac{12}{y^5} \right) + \frac{255}{4\tau} \frac{\sin^2 2\phi}{2} \xi \int_0^\infty dq \ q^2 (q+1)^2 e^{-qy} g \left( \Delta m^2, q, y \right)$$

where  $y = \frac{\delta m_{np}}{T}$ Neutron equilibrium equation

$$\frac{dX_n}{dt} = \lambda_{pn}(t)(1 - X_n(t)) - \lambda_{np}(t)X_n(t)$$

where t is the time and  $X_n(t)$  is the neutron to baryon ratio. The solution results (Bernstein et al., 1989)

$$X_n = \int_0^\infty dw \; \frac{e^w}{(1+e^w)^2} e^{-(\mu_P(\delta m_{np})^2)^{-1} \int_w^\infty du \; u(1+e^{-u}) \lambda_{np}(u)}$$

• Primordial abundances

$$\frac{dY_i}{dt} = J(t) - \Gamma(t)Y_i$$

•  $\chi^2$  test to obtain the best-fit parameters

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{\left(Y_x^{obs} - Y_x^{teo} \left(\Omega_B h^2, \sin^2 2\phi, \Delta m^2\right)\right)^2}{\sigma_x^2}$$

# Results

 $\Delta m^2 = 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$  (Civitarese and Mosquera, IJMPE 17, 351 (2008), Civitarese and Mosquera, PRC 77, 05806 (2008))

	All data		Excluding <sup>7</sup> Li	
	$\sin^2 2\phi \pm \sigma$	$\Omega_B h^2 \pm \sigma$	$\sin^2 2\phi \pm \sigma$	$\Omega_B h^2 \pm \sigma$
Two states	$0.002\pm0.033$	$0.0250 \pm 0.0010$	$0.221\substack{+0.095\\-0.092}$	$0.0230 \pm 0.0020$
Two states + int	$0.007\pm0.074$	$0.0250 \pm 0.0020$	$0.196\substack{+0.048\\-0.084}$	$0.0230 \pm 0.0020$
Three states	$0.000\pm0.026$	$0.0253 \pm 0.0015$	$0.018 \pm 0.098$	$0.0216 \pm 0.0017$

 $\left(\Omega_B h^2\right)_{WMAP} = 0.0224 \pm 0.0009$ 



# Conclusions

The compatibility analysis between the theoretical and observational primordial abundances, shows that

- there exists sensitivity with the mass hierarchy and with the mixing parameters between active and sterile neutrinos
- there exists sensitivity of the baryon density with respect to the mixing angle
- $\Omega_B h^2$  and  $\sin^2 2\phi$  are in good agreement with the WMAP results and LSND data when <sup>7</sup>Li is excluded in the analysis